(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
WRIT PETITION NO. 631 /2002

IN THE MATTER OF:

SHRI CHHAITU RAM JANGDE
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
S/O SHRI MAKHAN LAL
Resident of - Pausari
Post. – Kamata, The. - Simga,
Distt. - RAIPUR [C.G.] PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. **SECRETARY**
   PUBLIC WORK DEPARTMENT
   MANTRALAYA, RAIPUR [C.G.]

2. **CHIEF ENGINEER**
   PUBLIC WORK DEPARTMENT
   RAIPUR, [C.G.]

3. **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**
   P.W.D.- DIVISION NO. 2
   RAIPUR, [C.G]

P.R. No. 628/02
Presented by Shri ... Chhaitu Ram Jangde
dated ... 3.4.02

4 **SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER**
   PUBLIC WORK DEPARTMENT
   BALODA BAZAR, RAIPUR [C.G.] RESPONDENTS

5. STATE OF C.G.
   Secretary, Public Work Department.
   Secretariat Raipur [C.G.]

To,
The Hon'ble Chief Justice and His
Companion Justices of the
Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(24)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 631 सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

13.11.2002

Shri Kamal Mohan Gupta, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ranbir Singh, G.A. for the State.

Heard.

In view of the limited controversy involved in this petition, no useful purpose will be served in keeping this petition pending as the same can be disposed of at the admission stage.

Admittedly, the petitioner was a daily wage employee under the Public Works Department working at Bhatapara. It is the case of the petitioner that though he had worked continuous without any break for more than three years, his services were terminated by an oral order. It is his further case that a circular was issued by the Government of Chhattisgarh through General Administration Department bearing No.320/GAD/2000, dt.02nd December 2000 regarding reinstatement of daily wage employees whose services had been terminated. Accordingly, on the basis of said circular, the petitioner had submitted an application on 11.2.2002 for taking him back as a daily wage employee, however, no action having been taken, he has approached this Court with a prayer to the respondents to reconsider his case.

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent No.3 and on such authority in the Public Works Department as is competent to do so, to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass an appropriate orders thereon, within a period of 6 weeks from the date of communication/receipt of certified copy of this order. It is made clear that the Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the case as the entitlement of the petitioner's claim is to be decided by the authorities on its own merits.

With the direction/observation made above, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.No.1629/2002 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge

Anjani/-

GRPRJ—FS/311—1/2001—50,000.